



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ALISON J. BALDWIN
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF
300 S. WACKER DRIVE, 32ND FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60606

COPY MAILED
DEC 16 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Claire M. McCallum et al
Application No. 10/691,374
Filed: October 22, 2003
Attorney Docket No. 02,276-A

: DECISION ON PETITION
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)
:
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed October 11, 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/420,228.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000.

The instant nonprovisional application was filed on October 22, 2003. Reference to the prior-filed application was made in the declaration filed with the instant application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is not included in the first sentence of the specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(5)(ii). However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the prior-filed application(s) set forth in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application, a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6).¹ In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of the above-noted, prior-filed application in the transmittal letter filed with the application, as shown by its inclusion on the filing receipt.

In view of the above, the \$1,370 petition fee submitted is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

¹ Note MPEP 201.11 (V), page 200-75 (Rev. 1. Feb. 2004 and 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.

Any questions concerning this decision on petition may be directed to Wan Laymon at (571) 272-3220. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to Files Repository.



Frances Hicks
Lead Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions